

1724 Connecticut Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20009  
(202) 234-5570

Interviews: 1,002 2016 voters  
Dates: December 2-6, 2016

**FINAL**

47 Male  
53 Female  
[109]

Study #12075  
CAP Energy/Environment/Climate Voters Survey  
December 2016

***Please note: all results are shown as percentages unless otherwise stated.***

1a. Are you currently registered to vote?

Yes, registered.....	100	<b>CONTINUE</b>	[139]
No, not registered .....	-	<b>TERMINATE</b>	
Not sure .....	-		

1bc. How would you describe your overall point of view in terms of the political parties? Would you say that you are mostly Democratic, leaning Democratic, completely independent, leaning Republican, or mostly Republican? **(IF RESPONDENT SAYS "COMPLETELY INDEPENDENT," ASK:)** Do you think of yourself as closer to the Democratic Party or the Republican Party?

	<u>All Voters</u>		[142]
Mostly Democratic.....	33		
Leaning Democratic .....	7		
Independent/closer to Democratic Party .....	5		
Completely independent.....	9		
Independent/closer to Republican Party.....	5		
Leaning Republican.....	9		
Mostly Republican.....	28		
Do not know/refused .....	-		
Not sure.....	4		
<b>Total Democratic</b>	<b>45</b>		
<b>Total Republican</b>	<b>42</b>		

1d. For statistical purposes only, would you please tell me how old you are? **(IF RESPONDENT SAYS "REFUSED," ASK:)** Well would you tell me which age group you belong to?

	<u>All Voters</u>		[143-144]
18-24 .....	9		
25-29 .....	7		
30-34 .....	7		
35-39 .....	8		
40-44 .....	8		
45-49 .....	9		
50-54 .....	11		
55-59 .....	10		
60-64 .....	8		
65-69 .....	8		
70-74 .....	7		
75 and over .....	7		
Refused .....	1		

1ef. For statistical purposes only, are you from a Hispanic or Spanish-speaking background? **(THEN ASK:)** To ensure that we have a representative sample, what is your race--white, black or African American, Asian, or something else

	All Voters	
White/Caucasian .....	73	[147]
Black/African American .....	12	
Hispanic .....	9	
Asian .....	3	
Other .....	2	
Not sure/refused .....	1	

1g. Many people were not able to vote in this year's elections for U.S. president. How about you? Did you vote in this year's election for U.S. president or did you not get a chance to vote this year?

Yes, voted .....	100	<b>CONTINUE</b>	[148]
No, did not vote .....	-	<b>TERMINATE</b>	
Not sure .....	-		

2. In the election for president last month, did you vote for Hillary Clinton, the Democrat, Donald Trump, the Republican, Gary Johnson, the Libertarian, or Jill Stein, the Green Party candidate?

**(IF RESPONDENT DECLINES TO SAY HOW HE/SHE VOTED, SAY:)** This survey is being done for research purposes only. Your willingness to say how you voted is really important for ensuring the accuracy of our research, and we promise that we will always maintain the privacy and confidentiality of your responses.

	All Voters	
Hillary Clinton .....	46	[149]
Donald Trump .....	45	
Gary Johnson .....	3	
Jill Stein .....	1	
Did not vote in presidential race (VOL) .....	2	
Not sure/refused .....	3	

3. On most issues that are important to you, would you say that Donald Trump is generally in step or out of step with your views? **(IF RESPONDENT SAYS OUT OF STEP, ASK:)** Would you say he is very far out of step or just somewhat out of step with your views on issues?

	All Voters	
<b>In step with views</b> .....	<b>44</b>	[152]
<b>Out of step with views</b> .....	<b>48</b>	
Very far out of step with views .....	36	
Just somewhat out of step with views .....	12	
Not sure .....	8	

4a. How confident are you that Donald Trump will take the right positions when it comes to issues related to energy and what sources of energy we should be developing? Are you very confident, fairly confident, not that confident, or not confident at all that he'll take the right positions on energy and energy development?

	All Voters	
Very confident.....	21	[153]
Fairly confident.....	25	
Not that confident.....	16	
Not confident at all.....	32	
Not sure.....	6	

4b. How confident are you that Donald Trump will take the right positions when it comes to issues related to the environment and conservation of natural places? Are you very confident, fairly confident, not that confident, or not confident at all that he'll take the right positions on the environment and conservation?

	All Voters	
Very confident.....	17	[154]
Fairly confident.....	26	
Not that confident.....	16	
Not confident at all.....	35	
Not sure.....	6	

5. Next I'm going to read you some possible priorities and goals for the federal government. Please tell me how important you consider each one to be.

Do you consider **(READ ITEM)** to be very important, fairly important, just somewhat important, not that important, or not important at all?

*THIS TABLE HAS BEEN RANKED BY THE PERCENTAGE OF ALL VOTERS WHO SAY VERY IMPORTANT*

	<u>Total Important</u>	<u>Total Not Important</u>	<u>Very Important</u>	<u>Fairly Important</u>	<u>Just Somewhat Important</u>	<u>Not That Important</u>	<u>Not Important At All</u>	<u>Not Sure</u>	
<b>Protecting and maintaining America's national parks, public lands, and natural places</b>									[157/ 168]
All Voters.....	91	2	76	15	7	1	1	-	
<b>Protecting important natural places for future generations **</b>									[174]
All Voters.....	91	2	76	15	6	1	1	1	
<b>Increasing our use of clean, renewable energy sources such as wind and solar power</b>									[155/ 166]
All Voters.....	83	7	66	17	10	4	3	-	
<b>Saving at-risk wildlife from going extinct *</b>									[165]
All Voters.....	81	4	63	18	14	2	2	1	
<b>Keeping energy prices low</b>									[160/ 171]
All Voters.....	80	5	61	19	14	4	1	1	

\* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).  
\*\* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

Q.5 (cont'd)

	<u>Total Important</u>	<u>Total Not Important</u>	<u>Very Important</u>	<u>Fairly Important</u>	<u>Just Somewhat Important</u>	<u>Not That Important</u>	<u>Not Important At All</u>	<u>Not Sure</u>	
<b>Reducing carbon pollution and the emission of greenhouse gases that cause climate change</b>									[159/ 170]
All Voters.....	77	10	59	18	11	6	4	2	
<b>Preparing for more extreme storms, drought, heat waves, and other climate change effects *</b>									[164]
All Voters.....	77	7	57	20	14	5	2	2	
<b>Addressing the threat of climate change **</b>									[175]
All Voters.....	68	13	53	15	15	7	6	4	
<b>Ensuring that American taxpayers are fairly compensated for energy development on publicly owned lands and oceans</b>									[161/ 172]
All Voters.....	67	8	43	24	17	5	3	8	
<b>Cutting red tape associated with outdated and overly burdensome environmental laws</b>									[162/ 169]
All Voters.....	63	12	41	22	18	7	5	7	
<b>Increasing the production of traditional fossil fuel-based energy sources, such as oil, gas, and coal</b>									[156/ 167]
All Voters.....	59	22	38	21	17	11	11	2	
<b>Ensuring the economic health of the coal industry *</b>									[163]
All Voters.....	54	24	36	18	19	13	11	3	
<b>Accelerating the approval of and construction of oil and gas pipelines **</b>									[176]
All Voters.....	54	26	34	20	16	13	13	4	
<b>Increasing oil and gas development on public lands</b>									[158/ 169]
All Voters.....	46	31	26	20	18	14	17	5	

\* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).  
\*\* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

6a. Which of the following do you think should be a bigger priority for the federal government—investing more in clean, renewable energy sources such as wind and solar power OR increasing the production of traditional fossil fuel-based energy sources, such as oil, gas, and coal?

	<u>All Voters</u>	
Investing in clean, renewable energy sources .....	58	[177]
Increasing production of traditional fossil fuel-based energy sources....	23	
Some of both (VOL).....	15	
Not sure .....	4	

6b. Which of the following do you think should be a bigger priority for the federal government—protecting important natural places for future generations OR increasing oil and gas development on public lands?

	<u>All Voters</u>	
Protecting important natural places.....	67	[178]
Increasing oil and gas development on public lands .....	16	
Some of both (VOL) .....	13	
Not sure .....	4	

7a. Who do you trust more when it comes to issues involving energy and the environment—Donald Trump or Democrats in Congress? \*

	<u>All Voters</u>	
Donald Trump .....	32	[179]
Democrats in Congress .....	46	
Both (VOL) .....	2	
Neither (VOL).....	14	
Not sure .....	6	

\* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).

7b. Who do you trust more when it comes to issues involving energy and the environment—Republicans in Congress or Democrats in Congress? \*\*

	<u>All Voters</u>	
Republicans in Congress .....	30	[180]
Democrats in Congress .....	41	
Both (VOL) .....	3	
Neither (VOL).....	18	
Not sure .....	8	

\*\* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

8a. Who do you trust more when it comes to issues involving energy and the environment--the coal industry OR environmental and conservation organizations? \*

	<u>All Voters</u>	
The coal industry.....	19	[208]
Environmental and conservation organizations.....	58	
Both (VOL).....	7	
Neither (VOL).....	9	
Not sure .....	7	

\* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).

8b.. Who do you trust more when it comes to issues involving energy and the environment--oil and gas companies OR environmental and conservation organizations? \*\*

	<u>All Voters</u>	
Oil and gas companies.....	13	[209]
Environmental and conservation organizations.....	61	
Both (VOL) .....	5	
Neither (VOL).....	15	
Not sure.....	6	

\*\* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

9. Let me read you a statement that Donald Trump recently made about what he will do with energy during his first one hundred days in office and then I'd like to get your reaction.

Donald Trump said, quote, "I will cancel job-killing restrictions on the production of American energy, including shale energy and clean coal," unquote.

Is your reaction to this statement very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable?

	<u>All Voters</u>	
Very favorable.....	21	[210]
Somewhat favorable .....	26	
Somewhat unfavorable .....	15	
Very unfavorable.....	29	
Not sure .....	9	
<b>Total Favorable</b>	<b>47</b>	
<b>Total Unfavorable</b>	<b>44</b>	

10. Now I'm going to tell you some specific steps that President-elect Trump or Republican leaders in Congress have said they might take. For each one, please tell me whether you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose this proposal.

Would you strongly support, somewhat support, somewhat oppose, or strongly oppose **(READ ITEM)?**

*THIS TABLE HAS BEEN RANKED BY THE PERCENTAGE OF ALL VOTERS WHO SAY SOMEWHAT OPPOSE OR STRONGLY OPPOSE*

	<u>Total Support</u>	<u>Total Oppose</u>	<u>Strongly Support</u>	<u>Somewhat Support</u>	<u>Somewhat Oppose</u>	<u>Strongly Oppose</u>	<u>Not Sure</u>	
<b>Privatizing or selling off some areas of America's national forests and public lands</b>								[217/227]
All Voters .....	17	78	5	12	14	64	5	
<b>Eliminating protections for some at-risk wildlife species such as the gray wolf or the greater sage grouse so that they cannot be protected by the Endangered Species Act</b>								[216/226]
All Voters .....	22	70	10	12	18	52	8	
<b>Allowing oil and gas drilling in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge *</b>								[220]
All Voters .....	27	67	12	15	15	52	6	
<b>Taking away the ability of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency to set limits on carbon pollution from power plants *</b>								[219]
All Voters .....	30	65	14	16	15	50	5	
<b>Withdrawing the United States from international efforts to combat climate change **</b>								[230]
All Voters .....	30	63	15	15	19	44	7	
<b>Overturning the fracking regulations that protect groundwater and the requirement that oil and gas companies disclose the chemicals they use in the fracking process on public lands</b>								[213/223]
All Voters .....	30	62	17	13	14	48	8	
<b>Allowing for new leases of national public lands to coal companies for mining</b>								[211/221]
All Voters .....	31	62	11	20	17	45	7	
<b>Eliminating the limit on how much methane gas energy companies are allowed to vent, leak, or otherwise waste when drilling on public lands</b>								[212/222]
All Voters .....	33	61	16	17	15	46	6	
<b>Limiting the authority of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency to protect small waterways and wetlands from pollution</b>								[215/225]
All Voters .....	39	57	23	16	12	45	4	

\* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).

\*\* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

Q.10 (cont'd)

	<u>Total Support</u>	<u>Total Oppose</u>	<u>Strongly Support</u>	<u>Somewhat Support</u>	<u>Somewhat Oppose</u>	<u>Strongly Oppose</u>	<u>Not Sure</u>	
<b>Eliminating the requirement that federal agencies consider and publicly disclose the impact of their actions on climate change</b>								[218/228]
All Voters .....	34	56	16	18	16	40	10	
<b>Blocking the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency from setting stricter limits on automobile pollution</b>								[214/224]
All Voters .....	39	56	21	18	18	38	5	
<b>Opening offshore areas off the coast of the Atlantic and in the Arctic Ocean for new oil and gas drilling **</b>								[229]
All Voters .....	47	47	21	26	12	35	6	

\* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).

\*\* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

11. Now based on the specific policies I mentioned, is your overall opinion of the approach President-elect Trump or Republican leaders in Congress want to take on energy and the environment very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable?

	<u>All Voters</u>	
Very favorable .....	15	[231]
Somewhat favorable .....	28	
Somewhat unfavorable .....	17	
Very unfavorable .....	34	
Not sure .....	6	
<b>Total Favorable</b>	<b>43</b>	
<b>Total Unfavorable</b>	<b>51</b>	



12. Now I'm going to mention some potential consequences of these energy and environmental policies that President-elect Trump or Republican leaders in Congress have said they might take. For each one, please tell me whether it is a very big concern, a fairly big concern, somewhat of a concern, or not a concern?

**(READ ITEM)**—is this a very big concern, a fairly big concern, somewhat of a concern, or not a concern for you?

*THIS TABLE HAS BEEN RANKED BY THE PERCENTAGE OF ALL VOTERS WHO SAY VERY BIG CONCERN*

	<b>Total Big Concern</b>	<u>Very Big Concern</u>	<u>Fairly Big Concern</u>	<u>Somewhat Of A Concern</u>	<u>Not A Concern</u>	<u>Not Sure</u>	
<b>They would contaminate our clean drinking water supplies</b>							[233]
All Voters.....	<b>80</b>	74	6	9	10	1	
<b>They would release chemicals that are hazardous to human health</b>							[237]
All Voters.....	<b>80</b>	72	8	11	8	1	
<b>They will provide subsidies and loopholes to big oil companies at the expense of taxpayers</b>							[239]
All Voters.....	<b>74</b>	65	9	13	11	2	
<b>They would increase air pollution</b>							[232]
All Voters.....	<b>71</b>	62	9	17	11	1	
<b>They would make climate change worse, which would increase the risk of more extreme droughts, storms, floods, heat waves, and wildfires</b>							[238]
All Voters.....	<b>67</b>	60	7	13	18	2	
<b>They would be a give-away to lobbyists and wealthy special interests that fund their campaigns</b>							[235]
All Voters.....	<b>68</b>	59	9	15	13	4	
<b>They would increase extinction by reducing protections for rare and at-risk wildlife</b>							[240]
All Voters.....	<b>65</b>	55	10	19	13	3	
<b>They would expand drilling on national public lands, coastlines, and other precious areas</b>							[236]
All Voters.....	<b>64</b>	54	10	19	15	2	
<b>They would promote reliance on non-renewable, dirty energy sources</b>							[234]
All Voters.....	<b>62</b>	51	11	19	14	5	

13a. Supporters of these new policies say that for too long Washington has stood in the way of our nation's energy independence with needless and redundant regulations that have increased the cost of energy and had a devastating effect on job production. These proposals will allow us to take full advantage of America's abundant natural resources--including natural gas, oil, and coal. This approach will increase our supply of energy at home, lower fuel prices, create good-paying jobs, and reduce our reliance on foreign oil.

Opponents of these policies say they will only help the Big Oil and coal companies that have dumped millions of dollars into TV ads and political campaigns. The fossil fuel industry already gets eighteen billion dollars in subsidies and now it wants even more government giveaways to expand fossil fuel extraction on public lands, in our oceans, and near our communities. Instead of investing more in finite, dirty energy sources such as oil, gas, and coal, we should be investing in clean energy sources that offer America a permanent solution to energy independence and would make the U.S. a world leader in renewable energy innovation.

Who do you agree with more--supporters of Donald Trump and congressional Republicans' approach, or opponents of Donald Trump and congressional Republicans' approach? \*

	All Voters	
Supporters of this approach .....	35	[241]
Opponents of this approach .....	48	
Both/neither (VOL) .....	8	
Not sure .....	9	

\* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).

13b. Supporters of these new policies say that for too long Washington has stood in the way of our nation's energy independence with needless and redundant regulations that have increased the cost of energy and had a devastating effect on job production. These proposals will allow us to take full advantage of America's abundant natural resources--including natural gas, oil, and coal. This approach will increase our supply of energy at home, lower fuel prices, create good-paying jobs, and reduce our reliance on foreign oil.

Opponents of these policies say that this fossil-fuel driven approach to energy will harm public health and the important natural places that are our heritage as Americans. These dangerous policies eliminate vital protections against pollution of the air we breathe and the water we drink and will increase carbon pollution that scientists say is responsible for climate change. We have a responsibility to our children and grandchildren to protect America's priceless natural heritage, and to preserve our clean air and clean water.

Who do you agree with more--supporters of Donald Trump and congressional Republicans' approach or opponents of Donald Trump and congressional Republicans' approach? \*\*

	All Voters	
Supporters of this approach .....	36	[242]
Opponents of this approach .....	50	
Both/neither (VOL) .....	9	
Not sure .....	5	

\*\* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

14. Next I'm going to read you some reasons why people oppose the policies that President-elect Donald Trump or Republicans in Congress have talked about for energy and the environment. For each one, please tell me whether you think it is a very strong reason to oppose these policies, a fairly strong reason, not that strong of a reason, or not a strong reason at all.

THIS TABLE HAS BEEN RANKED BY THE PERCENTAGE OF ALL VOTERS WHO SAY VERY STRONG REASON

	<b>Total Strong Reason</b>	<b>Very Strong Reason</b>	<b>Fairly Strong Reason</b>	<b>Not That Strong Of A Reason</b>	<b>Not A Strong Reason At All</b>	<b>Not Sure</b>	
<b>Our parks, lands, waters, and wildlife are part of America's outdoor heritage that we all have a moral obligation to protect for children and grandchildren. It is our responsibility to protect this legacy so that we can guarantee that future generations can enjoy the beauty of our nation, learn about nature, and experience their heritage just like we did</b>							[245/ 253]
All Voters.....	<b>83</b>	63	20	8	7	2	
<b>Clean air and water is critical to the health of all Americans. Doctors, nurses, and public health experts warn us that air and drinking water pollution is a serious threat to our health, especially for the elderly and children. Pollution from power plants is not only one of the leading causes of catastrophic climate change--it leads to thousands of additional asthma attacks and heart attacks. It even increases the risk of premature death</b>							[246/ 254]
All Voters.....	<b>79</b>	61	18	9	9	3	
<b>These policies are a sell-out to the same old corporate interests, Washington lobbyists, and millionaires and billionaires who know how to game the system. A few powerful and well-connected people are angling to make a lot of money, but it will come at the expense of the rest of us. We need to stand up for every American's right to drink clean water, to breath clean air, and to hike, hunt, and fish on their public lands</b>							[248/ 256]
All Voters.....	<b>76</b>	61	15	10	10	4	
<b>We have a responsibility to our children and grandchildren to address climate change by investing in sustainable energy sources and moving away from finite energy sources that pollute our air and water. The longer we put it off, the more expensive and painful it will be for our economy and our families to solve this problem **</b>							[258]
All Voters.....	<b>79</b>	59	20	10	8	3	
<b>America's natural areas and wildlife are going fast. In the West, we lose a football field's worth of natural areas to human development every two and a half minutes. One in five animals and plants in the United States is at risk of extinction. Instead of eliminating protections for our environment, we must safeguard our remaining natural areas and wildlife habitat so that they may be enjoyed by generations to come</b>							[247/ 255]
All Voters.....	<b>78</b>	59	19	10	8	4	

\* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).

\*\* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

Q.14 (cont'd)

	<b>Total Strong Reason</b>	<b>Very Strong Reason</b>	<b>Fairly Strong Reason</b>	<b>Not That Strong Of A Reason</b>	<b>Not A Strong Reason At All</b>	<b>Not Sure</b>	
<b>These policies go too far. They are part of an extreme partisan agenda that tips the scales too far toward fossil fuels, special interests, and the well-connected. We need a balanced approach that grows America's renewable energy economy, protects our air, water, and wildlife, and that helps bring our country together around our shared values</b>							[249/ 257]
All Voters.....	<b>80</b>	56	24	8	9	3	
<b>These policies are backward looking and will only make America more dependent on dirty energy sources. Instead of giving special breaks to oil, gas, and coal companies, we should be focusing on transitioning to clean, sustainable energy to reduce carbon emissions, save consumers billions of dollars, and create hundreds of thousands of jobs in the clean energy economy</b>							[243/ 251]
All Voters.....	<b>74</b>	55	19	11	12	3	
<b>Ninety-seven percent of scientists now agree that carbon pollution is a major cause of climate change, and Americans can already see that climate change is creating more extreme and costly weather events, including superstorms, droughts, and wildfires that threaten communities and businesses. Politicians who support these policies are denying the science of climate change and are just looking to protect their own self-interest by doing the bidding of the oil companies and other polluters who fund their campaigns *</b>							[250]
All Voters.....	<b>69</b>	54	15	8	17	6	
<b>Many scientists say that in order to avoid reaching the tipping point in the earth's temperature, which will result in severe climate impacts, we cannot afford to burn all the fossil fuels that are available. We need to keep as much of our current supplies of oil, gas, and coal in the ground as we can, so that we do not make climate change worse</b>							[244/ 252]
All Voters.....	<b>69</b>	52	17	12	15	4	

\* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM A).

\*\* Asked of one-half the respondents (FORM B).

15. Which one or two of the following, if any, concern you the most about how the Trump administration and the Republicans in Congress might take on energy and the environment? **(IF RESPONDENT CHOOSES MORE THAN TWO, ASK:) If you had to choose just one or two, which would they be?**

*THIS TABLE HAS BEEN RANKED BY THE HIGHEST PERCENTAGE OF ALL VOTERS*

	All <u>Voters</u>	
They would ignore the conclusions of scientists and medical experts, and base their decisions on politics and ideology .....	24	[259]
They would do what big oil companies and other special interests want, rather than what's in the public's best interest .....	24	>
Instead of working for a balanced energy policy, they would prioritize the development of oil, gas, and coal priority over the protection of the environment .....	20	
They would let lobbyists for the energy industry write the bills and set the policies, with few checks and balances .....	19	
Instead of unifying the country around mainstream ideas, they will take a divisive and extreme approach .....	13	
None of these (VOL) .....	13	
Not sure.....	8	

- 16a. Now based on everything we have talked about, is your overall opinion of these policies that President-elect Trump or Republicans in Congress have said they might propose very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable?

	All <u>Voters</u>		
Very favorable .....	17	<b>CONTINUE</b>	[260]
Somewhat favorable.....	23		
Somewhat unfavorable.....	13	<b>Skip to Q.16c</b>	
Very unfavorable .....	39		
Not sure.....	8	<b>Skip to Factsuals</b>	
<b>Total Favorable</b>	<b>40</b>		
<b>Total Unfavorable</b>	<b>52</b>		

**(ASK ONLY OF RESPONDENTS WHO SAY FAVORABLE IN Q.16a)**

- 16b. Why do you feel that way? Why do you have a (VERY/SOMEWHAT FAVORABLE) opinion of these policies that President-elect Trump or Republicans in Congress have said they might propose? [261-271]

	All <u>Voters</u>
He will bring change, we needed a change, will turn things around	9%
Agree with his views/ideas/stand on issues/plans sound good	9
He will be good for the economy/agree with his views on economic issues	8
He will do a good job, will get things done, will be a good president	6
Less dependent on foreign oil, gas, not beholden to foreign companies	6
Wait and see, never know until they do it, until it happens	6
Won't ignore the environment/will do what is right for the environment	5
Honest, trustworthy, doesn't owe anybody, I believe in him, his promise	5
Nothing, none, don't know, no response	9%

**(ASK ONLY OF RESPONDENTS WHO SAY UNFAVORABLE IN Q.16a)**

16c. Why do you feel that way? Why do you have a (VERY/SOMEWHAT UNFAVORABLE) opinion of these policies that President-elect Trump or Republicans in Congress have said they might propose? [308-318]

	<u>All Voters</u>
Lack of concern for the environment, not good for the environment	20%
Not for us, doesn't care about us, not for the common man	11
Self-serving, only cares about himself, making more money	10
Disagree with their ideas, their views, the things they are proposing	7
Too concerned with special interest groups/big businesses/the wealthy	7
Need to care, protect future generations/our children/their kids	6
Need to protect the animals, endangering animals, wildlife	5
He doesn't believe in climate change/climate change is real, a threat	5
Nothing, none, don't know, no response	6%

**FACTUALS: Now I am going to ask you a few questions for statistical purposes only.**

F1. What is the last grade that you completed in school?

	<u>All Voters</u>	
Grade school.....	1	[319-320]
Some high school.....	3	
High school graduate.....	23	
Some college, no degree.....	21	
Vocational training/2-year college.....	9	
4-year college/bachelor's degree.....	25	
Some postgraduate work, no degree.....	1	
2 or 3 years' postgraduate work/master's degree....	13	
Doctoral/law degree.....	2	
Not sure/refused.....	2	

F2. Would you describe yourself as a hunter or an angler?

	<u>All Voters</u>	
Yes, describe self as hunter or angler.....	43	[321]
No, do not describe self as hunter or angler.....	48	
Not sure.....	9	

F3. Thinking about your general approach to issues, do you consider yourself to be very conservative, somewhat conservative, middle of the road, somewhat liberal, or very liberal?

	<u>All Voters</u>	
Very conservative.....	18	[322]
Somewhat conservative.....	22	
Middle of the road.....	29	
Somewhat liberal.....	16	
Very liberal.....	11	
Not sure.....	4	
<b>Total Conservative</b>	<b>40</b>	
<b>Total Liberal</b>	<b>27</b>	

F4. Are you currently employed? (IF RESPONDENT SAYS "NO, NOT EMPLOYED," ASK:) Are you a student, a homemaker, retired, or unemployed and looking for work?

	<u>All Voters</u>	
Yes, employed.....	61	[323]
Student.....	3	
Homemaker.....	5	
Retired.....	24	
Unemployed, looking for work.....	3	
Volunteer (VOL).....	-	
Disabled (VOL).....	2	
Not sure/refused.....	2	

F5. What is the best way to describe the area where you live--a city, a suburb, a small town, or a rural area?

	<u>All Voters</u>	
A city.....	26	[324]
A suburb.....	26	
A small town.....	23	
A rural area.....	23	
Not sure.....	2	

F6. Finally, if you added together the yearly income of all the members of your family who were living at home last year, would the total be less than forty thousand dollars, between forty thousand dollars and sixty thousand dollars, between sixty thousand dollars and eighty thousand dollars, between eighty thousand dollars and one hundred thousand dollars, or would the total be more than one hundred thousand dollars?

	<u>All Voters</u>	
Less than \$40,000.....	17	[325]
Between \$40,000 and \$60,000.....	18	
Between \$60,000 and \$80,000.....	16	
Between \$80,000 and \$100,000.....	12	
More than \$100,000.....	22	
Not sure/refused.....	15	