



FACT SHEET

# Michigan Accountability

By Samantha Batel and Laura Jimenez August 2017

**TABLE 1**  
**Michigan school classification system**

<b>Design model</b>	Dashboard system	
<b>Summative classifications</b>	TBD	
<b>School classification indicators</b>	<b>Indicator weights</b>	
	Elementary and middle schools	High schools
<b>Academic achievement</b>		
Subject(s)	Measure(s)	
English language arts, math, science, and social studies	100-point index	
	—	—
<b>Student growth</b>		
Subject(s)	Measure(s)	
English language arts, math, science, and social studies	100-point index using student growth percentiles	
	—	—
<b>English learner progress</b>		
Student growth percentiles and percent proficient		
	—	—
<b>Early warning</b>		
Chronic absenteeism		
	—	—
<b>Persistence</b>		
Four-, five-, and six-year graduation rates		
	—	—
<b>College and career readiness</b>		
Postsecondary enrollment and percentage of students in grades 11-12 completing advanced coursework, such as Advanced Placement, International Baccalaureate, and Career and Technical Education courses		
	—	—
<b>Enrichment and environment</b>		
Time spent in fine arts, music, and physical education and access to a library media specialist (K-8 only); test participation		
	—	—

Notes: Michigan proposed three accountability systems: an A–F system, a system that assigns A–F grades to each indicator, and a dashboard system with no summative rating. The Michigan Department of Education announced that it will use the dashboard system. This analysis includes the indicators that the state proposed in its submitted ESSA plan, which the dashboard accountability system will likely include. In addition, the state’s description of its school classification system may differ from this organization’s, which was designed to make cross-state comparisons.

Sources: The authors reviewed the submitted consolidated state plans of 16 states and Washington, D.C., under the Every Student Succeeds Act. See U.S. Department of Education, “ESSA State Plan Submission,” available at <https://www2.ed.gov/admins/lead/account/stateplan17/statesubmission.html> (last accessed July 2017); Jennifer Guerra, “Michigan dumps its school ranking system in favor of dashboard,” Michigan Radio, July 23, 2017, available at <http://michiganradio.org/post/michigan-dumps-its-school-ranking-system-favor-dashboard>.

**TABLE 2**  
**Michigan school improvement funding**

Grant structure and amounts

Competitive grants	✓
Formula grants	✓
Maximum amount, comprehensive schools	Not described
Maximum amount, targeted schools	Not described

Source: The authors reviewed the submitted consolidated state plans of 16 states and Washington, D.C., under the Every Student Succeeds Act. See U.S. Department of Education, "ESSA State Plan Submission," available at <https://www2.ed.gov/admins/lead/account/stateplan17/statesubmission.html> (last accessed July 2017)