



What's at Stake

How the U.S. Supreme Court Could Force More Ohioans to Go Without Health Coverage

June 18, 2015

Before the end of June, the U.S. Supreme Court will rule on *King v. Burwell*, a lawsuit that seeks to strip premium tax credits from people who live in states with a federal insurance marketplace under the Affordable Care Act, or ACA. Repealing this pillar of the law, which helps make health coverage more affordable for consumers, would have severe consequences.

The stakes could not be higher. The sudden elimination of a key component of the ACA would cause substantial disruptions to the U.S. health care system. Moreover, it would take away health insurance coverage from more than 8 million Americans and cause premiums to spike for millions more. In Ohio alone, more than 161,000 people would lose an average of \$3,060 in tax credits, with many of these people losing their insurance entirely.¹ All told, nearly 460,000 Ohioans would become uninsured.²

This court decision has the potential to upend the health care system at the same time that the Affordable Care Act is working. In Ohio alone, more than 188,000³ have coverage through its federal marketplace, and 85 percent of those enrollees have received financial assistance.⁴

Repealing tax credits would cause widespread turmoil in the health care system

If the U.S. Supreme Court were to rule in favor of the plaintiffs in the *King v. Burwell* case:

- **Nearly 460,000 more Ohioans would be uninsured in 2016.** According to the Urban Institute, 459,000 Ohioans would be unable to afford their health insurance coverage and would become uninsured if the U.S. Supreme Court repeals the tax credits available under the Affordable Care Act in the federal marketplaces.⁵



459,000

Ohioans would lose coverage



More than 161,000 Ohioans would lose an average of

\$3,060

in tax credits

- **Overall, more than 161,000 Ohioans would lose an average of \$3,060 in tax credits.** According to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, 161,011 people would lose an average of \$3,060 in tax credits if the U.S. Supreme Court rules in favor of the plaintiffs.⁶
- **On average, insurance premiums would increase almost 200 percent in Ohio.** According to the Kaiser Family Foundation, the average subsidized enrollee in Ohio would face a premium increase of 190 percent.⁷ This estimate does not take into account the significant premium increases expected in 2016 and beyond if the elimination of premium tax credits causes healthy enrollees to drop coverage.

The Affordable Care Act is working: Tax credits help lower the cost of premiums for Ohioans

- **More than 188,000 Ohioans are enrolled in the federal marketplace.** As of March 31, 2015, there were 188,867 Ohioans with effectuated enrollment—meaning they have an active marketplace plan and have paid for their coverage.⁸
- **Eighty-five percent of Ohioans enrolled in the federal marketplace received financial assistance.** As of March 2015, 85.3 percent of Ohioans enrolled in the federal marketplace received financial assistance to help lower the cost of their health coverage.⁹
- **The uninsured rate in Ohio dropped 2.4 percentage points from 2013 to 2014.** From the beginning of 2013 to mid-2014, the uninsured rate in Ohio dropped 2.4 percentage points, from 13.9 percent to 11.5 percent.¹⁰

Endnotes

1 Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, "March 31, 2015 Effectuated Enrollment Snapshot," Press release, June 2, 2015, available at <http://www.cms.gov/Newsroom/MediaReleaseDatabase/Fact-sheets/2015-Fact-sheets-items/2015-06-02.html>.

2 Linda J. Blumberg, Matthew Buettgens, and John Holahan, "The Implications of a Supreme Court Finding for the Plaintiff in King vs. Burwell" (Washington: Urban Institute, 2015), available at <http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/2000062-The-Implications-King-vs-Burwell.pdf>.

3 Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, "March 31, 2015 Effectuated Enrollment Snapshot."

4 Ibid.

5 Blumberg, Buettgens, and Holahan, "The Implications of a Supreme Court Finding for the Plaintiff in King vs. Burwell."

6 Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, "March 31, 2015 Effectuated Enrollment Snapshot."

7 Kaiser Family Foundation, "State-by-State Effects of a Ruling for the Challengers in King v. Burwell," June 2015, available at <http://kff.org/interactive/king-v-burwell-effects/>.

8 Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, "March 31, 2015 Effectuated Enrollment Snapshot."

9 Ibid.

10 Dan Witters, "Arkansas, Kentucky Report Sharpest Drops in Uninsured Rate," Gallup, August 5, 2014, available at <http://www.gallup.com/poll/174290/arkansas-kentucky-report-sharpest-drops-uninsured-rate.aspx>.