August 22, 2016

Greg Link
Administration for Community Living
U.S. Administration of Aging
Department of Health and Human Services
Washington, DC 20201

Re: Request for Comments on Guidance for the Development and Submission of State Plans on Aging, State Plan Amendments and Intrastate Funding Formula

Dear Mr. Link:

On behalf of the Center for American Progress (CAP), we are writing this letter in response to the Notice published in the Federal Register on June 21, 2016, which seeks comment regarding the inclusion of a targeting provision in the Administration for Community Living’s (ACL) Program Instruction on Guidance for the Development and Submission of State Plans on Aging, State Plan Amendments and the Intrastate Funding Formula.

CAP is a nonpartisan think tank dedicated to improving the lives of Americans through bold, progressive ideas and action. As part of its core mission, CAP conducts research and develops new policy ideas that help expand opportunity and improve economic security for vulnerable communities, including older adults, ethnic and racial minorities, and lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or LGBT, people.

We believe the ACL’s proposed Program Instruction is an important step in the right direction for older Americans and their families. Targeted services aimed at assessing the needs and improving the lives of historically vulnerable communities are essential for increasing the health and well-being of seniors and their families. Successfully serving these communities will lead to reduced isolation of vulnerable seniors and increased support for their family caregivers and support systems.

Although we support ACL’s designation of various factors in determining what constitutes a population with “greatest economic and social need,” we strongly urge ACL to go beyond its current proposal and explicitly require states to describe the actions taken to assess the needs of vulnerable communities, including LGBT people and American Indians, among others.

**Designation as “Greatest Social Need”**

We applaud the ACL for including other factors that may contribute to “greatest social need” in the proposed instruction, in particular:

- being an American Indian (regardless of membership in a Federal or state-recognized tribe);
- one’s sexual orientation/gender identity (LGBT);
- being a Holocaust survivor;
- status as a refugee;
- or discrimination and/or persecution (past or present) based on religious/social/political beliefs.
The Older Americans Act (OAA) targeting provisions require states to give preference to older populations with “greatest social need” – including those with physical and mental disabilities, language barriers, and cultural, social or geographical isolation, which also includes isolation caused by racial or ethnic status. LGBT older adults and American Indians living on reservations experience significant social, cultural, and geographical isolation, and thus properly fall within the OAA’s criteria for designation as communities with “greatest social need.”

Social connectedness is essential to overall wellbeing, and social isolation can be both a cause and consequence of poverty. Social isolation can result in poor health outcomes, weaker social relations and networks, and lack of access to public services and supports, thereby creating economic challenges, such as meager employment opportunities, reduced earnings potential, and increased living expenses, among others. Poverty has been linked to weaker social relations and political participation, among other aspects of social life, further limiting one’s ability to experience full inclusion in society.

Research demonstrates that older LGBT people experience significant social isolation. They are more likely to live alone than their non-LGBT counterparts and more likely to feel unwelcome in, or be unwelcome in, community spaces and healthcare settings. A New York study found that LGBT elders are only half as likely to have close relatives to call for help, and four times less likely to have children to assist them.

American Indians living on reservation communities also experience significant cultural and geographic isolation that makes it harder for them to remain both socially and digitally connected. For example, 63 percent of people living on Tribal lands lack access to adequate broadband services, thereby limiting access to health services, online social networks and communications, public services and supports, employment opportunities, and the ability to engage in online educational programs. Among those living in rural areas of Tribal lands, 85 percent – or five times that of the overall U.S. population – lack adequate broadband services. American Indians also have severe barriers to health care access. More than four in ten American

3 ibid.
6 Ibid.
Indians who are eligible to receive care from the Indian Health Service (IHS) – the primary federal program that provides health services to American Indians who are members of recognized tribes – do not live within the IHS’s health service delivery area.  

While the OAA does not expressly exclude isolated populations outside of racial or ethnic status, it has made clear that other factors – including sexual orientation, gender identity and minority religious affiliation, among others – may lead to social, cultural, or geographical isolation. We agree that “such factors can limit the degree to which older adults experience full inclusion in society and are able to access available services and supports.” Thus, we firmly believe these populations correspond to those with “greatest social need” as defined by the OAA. This broader interpretation of the statute’s plain language will provide much-needed services and supports to historically isolated populations.

**Designation as “Greatest Economic Need”**

Similarly, we commend the ACL for including other factors that may contribute to “greatest economic need” in the proposed instruction, in particular:

- being an American Indian (regardless of membership in a Federal or state-recognized tribe);
- one’s sexual orientation/gender identity (LGBT);
- being a Holocaust survivor;
- status as a refugee;
- or discrimination and/or persecution (past or present) based on religious/social/political beliefs.

The OAA targeting provisions also require states to give preference to older populations with “greatest economic need,” or the need resulting from an income level at or below the federal poverty line.

LGBT people, including LGBT older adults, are more likely to face economic hardship and experience poverty than their non-LGBT peers. Researchers have noted that a lifetime of employment discrimination, limited access to healthcare, exclusion from legal marriage and government safety net programs, and an increased likelihood of living alone are all factors contributing to economic insecurity for older LGBT people. For example, female same-sex couples aged 65 years and older fare off worse than their different-sex counterparts with 6 percent and 3.5 percent living in poverty, respectively. Transgender older adults also face significant levels of social isolation and barriers to economic security, such as accessing
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Indeed, nearly one in four transgender older adults report being unable to afford a needed doctor’s visit.\(^{17}\)

Other populations are also at heightened risk of greatest economic need. American Indians, for example, experience poverty at disproportionate rates with 28.3 percent living in poverty in 2014, or more than double that of their white counterparts.\(^{18}\) The median household income for American Indians and Alaska Native households remains unacceptably low at $37,227—well below the national median of $53,657.\(^{19}\) American Indians also face elevated rates of unemployment that can vary substantially across Tribes and regions.\(^{20}\) Refugees are also more likely to experience economic hardship as language barriers\(^{21}\) and cultural and psychological shocks\(^{22}\) can limit access to employment, income, and public supports and services.

We support the ACL’s designation of these factors as contributors of “greatest economic need” and strongly believe the inclusion of such factors will greatly benefit historically vulnerable communities.

**Addressing Older Populations with “Greatest Social and Economic Need”**

While we commend the ACL’s focus on these communities for the reasons discussed above, we are concerned that it falls short in expressly requiring the States to address the needs of such populations.

Despite a heightened risk of “greatest social and economic need,” many populations of older adults are still not receiving the public assistance they need, in part because existing outreach efforts may fail at reaching this population. For example, a survey of 320 state and area agencies on aging found that only about one in eight reported outreach efforts targeted to both LGB and
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transgender older adults. In the same survey, 7.8 percent offered services targeted to LGB older adults and 7.2 percent to transgender older adults. Other surveys show that inadequate funding and resources for Indian Health Services have actually exacerbated health issues for Native elders.

The increased likelihood of isolation and economic insecurity – coupled with the lack of access to public supports and services – means that families often bear the brunt in providing both financial assistance and caregiving support for their older loved ones. Almost 40 million Americans aged 15 and older provided unpaid elder care in 2013 and 2014. For the populations aforementioned, such care means deepening financial strain for younger generations that are already economically vulnerable and likely to experience social isolation.

We strongly believe that designation of these factors as contributors to “greatest social and economic need” will benefit families and provide some relief to populations that might otherwise be overlooked under the plain text of the OAA’s targeting provisions. This increased focus on the most vulnerable older adults is likely to benefit the entire community in that it represents that most effective use of OAA funds. However, the proposed guidance requires states to merely describe approaches for assessing and addressing the needs of such populations.

We recommend that the ACL explicitly require States to take the actions described to assess and meet the needs of vulnerable communities, including LGBT people and American Indians, among others.

Specifically, we recommend that the ACL revise the Proposed Instruction on page 6 to read:

To ensure effective targeting of resources to all older adults with greatest economic and social need, states should describe their approaches for assessing and addressing the needs of such populations of older adults and specify which actions will be undertaken to meet those needs.

We firmly believe that only a federal mandate requiring State Units of Aging to assess the needs of such populations of older adults will ensure maximum inclusion in programs funded under the OAA.

Moreover, we strongly urge the ACL to expressly require States to engage in inclusive approaches for targeting older populations with greatest economic and social need. As currently written, the proposal recommends the following approaches for assessing and addressing the needs of such population of older adults:
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conducting statewide environmental scans and needs assessments, ensuring broad representation on advisory committees, holding public hearings and conducting targeted outreach to ensure all populations are aware of and able to access services.

We recommend that the ACL revise the Proposed Instruction on page 6 to read:

conducting statewide environmental scans and needs assessments that allow for appropriate identification of the populations of greatest economic and social need, ensuring broad representation on advisory committees, holding public hearings and conducting targeted outreach to ensure all populations are aware of and able to access services.

The collection of data on vulnerable older adults is absolutely essential to determine if they are receiving the services necessary to ensure their health and well-being. For many populations readily available government data provide a useful base of information regarding a community. For other groups, such as LGBT individuals or Holocaust survivors, information is less readily available. A growing body of research has identified best practices for collecting data on sexual orientation and gender identity, showing its feasibility and acceptability across a number of contexts. In addition, an increasing number of jurisdictions are implementing LGBT-inclusive data collection practices, including the Departments of Aging in New York and California. A more rigorous collection of information on historically vulnerable communities is a critical aspect of assessing the needs and improving the lives of members in these communities.

Respectfully submitted,

Laura E. Durso, PhD                Katherine Gallagher Robbins, PhD
Senior Director                  Director of Family Policy
LGBT Research and Communications Project  Poverty to Prosperity Program
Center for American Progress            Center for American Progress